

Unified Taxonomy in AI Safety: Watermarks, Adversarial Defenses, and Transferable Attacks

Grzegorz Głuch, Sai Ganesh Nagarajan*, and Berkant Turan*

EPFL, TU Berlin & Zuse Institute Berlin ⁶ Equal contribution.

Learning Task

- Learning Task: A classification based learning task \mathcal{L} is a pair (\mathcal{D}, h) of a distribution \mathcal{D} , supp $(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, and a ground truth map to a set of labels $h : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \cup \{\bot\}$.
- Risk Measure: To every $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$, we associate $\operatorname{err}(f) := \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[f(x) \neq h(x)]$.
- Information Access: We assume all parties have access to i.i.d. samples (x, h(x)), where $x \sim \mathcal{D}$, although \mathcal{D} and h are unknown to the parties.

Every learning task has at least one of the three:



- Uniqueness (training from scratch) : There exists succinctly representable \mathbf{P} running in time T such that w.h.p., $err(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq 2\epsilon$.
- Unremovability (fast P give high-error): For every succinctly representable **P** running in time t, w.h.p., $\operatorname{err}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) > 2\epsilon.$
- Undetectability (fast P accept tests): For every succinctly representable \mathbf{P} running in time t, the advantage in distinguishing $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{D}^q$ from $\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{V}$ is small.

Note that in the case of Uniqueness, \mathbf{P} runs in time T.

 Completeness (if x is from correct distribution, P does accept the test): When $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{D}^q$, then w.h.p.

• Correctness (f has low error): W.h.p., $err(f) \le \epsilon$.

b = 0.

Soundness (fast attacks creating x on which f makes **mistakes are detected)**: For every succinctly representable V running in time t, we have that w.h.p.,

 $\operatorname{err}(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x})) \leq 7\epsilon$ or b = 1.

• Transferability (fast P give high-error answers): For every succinctly representable \mathbf{P} running in time t, w.h.p.,

Х

У

models."

Prover P

(runs in t)

 $\operatorname{err}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) > 2\epsilon.$

• Undetectability (fast P accept tests): For every succinctly representable **P** running in time t, the advantage in distinguishing $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{D}^q$ from $\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{V}$ is small.

Theorem 2 (Transferable Attack for Cryptography based Learning Task)

There exists a distribution \mathcal{D} and a hypothesis class \mathcal{H} for which there is a Transferable Attack \mathbf{V}_{TA} such that if h is sampled uniformly from \mathcal{H} , then

 $\mathbf{V}_{\mathsf{TA}} \in \mathsf{TransfAttack}\left(\left(\mathcal{D},h\right),\epsilon,T=O\left(1/\epsilon\right),t=1/\epsilon^{2}\right).$

Moreover, for every ϵ , $O(1/\epsilon)$ time and $O(1/\epsilon)$ samples are sufficient, while $\Omega(1/\epsilon)$ samples (and time) are necessary to, on average, learn w.h.p. a classifier of error ϵ .



Fully Homomorphic Encryption (FHE) Cryptographic primitive allowing computation on encrypted data without decrypting it.

- $pk,sk = KeyGen(1^n)$: Samples public and secret key.
- $\psi = \text{Enc}(\text{pk}, x)$: Encrypts x with public key pk.
- $\psi_C = \text{Eval}(\text{pk}, C, \psi)$: Given public key pk, encrypted input ψ , and circuit C, it returns an encryption of an evaluation of C on the input encrypted to ψ .
- $y = Dec(sk, \psi_C)$: Given secret key sk and an encrypted evaluation of C, it returns the result in the clear.

Theorem 1 (Unified Taxonomy)

For every learning task \mathcal{L} and $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $T \in \mathbb{N}$, such that there exists a learner running in time T that, w.h.p., learns f such that $err(f) \leq \epsilon$, at least one of

Watermark
$$\left(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon, T, T^{1/\sqrt{\log(T)}}\right)$$
,
Defense $\left(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon, T^{1/\sqrt{\log(T)}}, O(T)\right)$,
TransfAttack $\left(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon, T, T\right)$

exists.

Notably, when a **Defense does not exist**, there **must be a Watermark or a Transferable** Attack, which goes beyond the prior understanding of the existence of adversarial attacks.

Examples (Bounded VC-Dimension)



Overview of learning tasks with Watermarks, Adversarial Defenses, and Transferable Attacks for **bounded VC dimension**.

Example 1 (Adversarial Defense for bounded VC-dimension). There exists an algorithm \mathbf{P}_{D} that is an Adversarial Defense for every hypothesis class \mathcal{H} of VC-dimension d, i.e. for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and a distribution \mathcal{D}

 $\mathbf{P}_{\mathsf{D}} \in \mathsf{Defense}\left((\mathcal{D}, h), \epsilon, t = \infty, T = \mathsf{poly}\left(d/\epsilon\right)\right).$

 $\mathbf{P}_{\rm D}$ is an adaptation of the defense from [Goldwasser et al. 2020].

Example 2 (Watermark for bounded VC-dimension against fast adversaries). For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a learning task \mathcal{L} with a hypothesis class of VC-dimension d for which there is a Watermark \mathbf{V}_{W} , i.e.

 $\mathbf{V}_{\mathsf{W}} \in \mathsf{Watermark}\left(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon, T = O\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right), t = \frac{d}{100}\right).$

Open Questions

Is it possible to generalize the definitions and obtain a similar taxonomy for generative learning tasks?

Key challenges: verification vs. generation, quality oracles [Zhang et al., 2023], selfevaluation.

